ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M08646 Date Received: 04/12/12 Date Extracted: 04/13/12 04/17/12 Date Analyzed: Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, PO M08646, F&BI 204183

204183-01 x10 204183-01 x10.025

Data File: Instrument:

ICPMS1

AP

Operator:

Lower

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 90

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 373 Nickel 330 Copper638 Zinc 21.9

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Date Received: Method Blank Not Applicable

04/12/12

Date Extracted: Date Analyzed:

Internal Standard:

04/17/12 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb) Client:

Alaskan Copper Works

Project: Lab ID: Metro Self Monitor, PO M08646, F&BI 204183 I2-250 mb

Data File: Instrument:

I2-250 mb.024 ICPMS1

AP

Operator:

Lower

% Recovery:

Limit: 60

Upper Limit: 125

Concentration

93

Analyte:

Germanium

ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 <1 Copper Zinc <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 04/19/12 Date Received: 04/12/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor, PO M08646, F&BI 204183

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 204126-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	15.5	95 b	96 b	71-130	1 b
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	15.5	91 b	94 b	71-120	3 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	118	76 b	81 b	52-134	6 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	413	77 b	88 b	51-142	13 b

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent										
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance							
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria							
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	100	80-119							
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	83-119							
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	81-120							
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	82-120							

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

ı. 🔻	Sanda, WA 94119-3039	SHE'S MARK ASSESSE WANT			•				э.	M0846	Sagt ID		City, Black, IIP SEARCHE WA 98134 1206-574-608 Jax # 206-382-4309	C. ALASKAN 628 S.
				-				2		0/	ID de ID		1-6085 Pax # 201	I CO
	Just 1	\	No.							The	Date		A 98134 26-382-430	LEARER WORKS
	0									12:50	Time			
	200	Germa						2 1		H20	Sample Type en		REMARKS	2 1 00
	0	Α								/]	TFM-Dissel	ЩÌ		AUCHO.
		1 Abreson	E	1							TYPE by seed YOCO by seed		В	Monitor
	246	で 子 で		1				14		¥	HF3 Or Cur Ni Za	EL SERVITOR		M 086 46
	85	ک										disament.	O Dispesse of	700
	"	4/2/12					-						3 2 3 5	18 GA M
	11/	1:372									Yota		Andrews L	1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

April 19, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on April 12, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor, PO M08646, F&BI 204183 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0419R.DOC